



EVOLUTION = THE LIE

By George Lujack

GENESIS 1:1:

In the beginning God created the heavens and the earth.

THE LIE is that there is no God, the universe created itself from nothing, and then billions of years later life arose from non-life into the first self-sustaining, self-replicating life forms that later evolved into all the various kinds of life on planet Earth. This article will present the theory of evolution as scientifically impossible and as THE LIE that is spoken of in Scripture.

"The greatest trick the Devil ever pulled was convincing the world he didn't exist."
–Charles Baudelaire, 1864

Not quite so. The greatest trick the Devil ever pulled was convincing many in the world that God doesn't exist. Therefore, God did not create the heavens and the Earth and all life on Earth. Also, if God does not exist then neither does Satan or good and evil. Thus man can live without absolute moral laws.

There are 6-forms of 'evolution,' and of the six forms only one is scientifically observable and proven. The other 5-forms have never been observed to occur and are theoretical.

1. Cosmic Evolution (The Big Bang) – the origin of time, space, and matter
2. Stellar and Planetary Evolution – the origin of stars and planets
3. Chemical Evolution – the origin of higher elements from hydrogen
4. Organic Evolution – the origin of life
5. Macroevolution – the changing of one kind (species) into another kind
6. Microevolution – the variations within kinds (species)

Of all 6-forms of evolution only number 6, microevolution, has been scientifically observed to occur. Microevolution defined is the evolutionary change (adaptation) within a species (kind) over a short period of time [1].

COSMIC EVOLUTION

Cosmic Evolution Theory proposes that absolutely nothing created the big bang. Updated variations of the Big Bang Theory have given the universe a head start, namely, that matter always existed. The Big Bang Theory proposes that a great cosmic explosion and the rapid expansion of matter, from a state of extremely high density and temperature, marked the origin of the universe.

“Of course it’s counterintuitive that you can get something from nothing. Of course common sense doesn’t allow you to get something from nothing. That’s why it’s interesting. It’s got to be interesting in order to give rise to the universe at all. SOMETHING pretty mysterious had to give rise to the origin of the universe.” ...

“Something can come from nothing.”

–Richard Dawkins, 2013 [2].

Richard Dawkins, atheist and evolutionary biologist, in his own words, attempts to redefine ‘nothing’ as ‘something.’

“Some intelligence may have created the universe, and all life upon it, but that something could not be God,”

–Richard Dawkins [3].

To usurp the creation from the Creator, evolution ‘scientists’ have theorized that absolutely nothing created the universe, as absurd as that sounds. Furthermore, they assert that there may exist some intelligence responsible for the creation of the universe, and life within it, but that intelligence could not be God.

STELLAR AND PLANETARY EVOLUTION

Stellar and planetary evolution means that all the stars, planets, moons, and other cosmic bodies and matter had to have evolved from absolutely nothing. Stellar evolution is the process by which a star undergoes a sequence of radical changes during its lifetime. Stellar evolution is not studied by observing the life of a single star, as most stellar changes occur too slowly to be detected, even over millennia. Astrophysicists theorize how stars evolve by observing numerous stars at various points in their lifetime, and by simulating stellar structure using computer models [4].

CHEMICAL EVOLUTION

Chemical Evolution Theory defined is the formation of complex organic molecules from simpler organic molecules through chemical reactions. Chemical evolution proposes that all of the elements on the periodic table must have evolved from hydrogen [5].

ORGANIC EVOLUTION

Organic evolution is the sequence of events involved in the evolutionary development of a species. Organic evolution, or abiogenesis, is the explanation of how life got started from inorganic molecules. Organic evolution is theorized to have occurred during Earth’s early history, in the oceans, as the first steps of the formation of life on the planet.

Evolution scientists, professing to be wise, often write long-winded, scientifically authoritative sounding columns in scientific journals about how chemical reactions cause elements to react that may have caused the first self-sustaining and self-replicating life forms to appear [6]. It is scientifically observable that elements react to other elements, causing a chemical reaction, but to believe that chemical reactions can produce even the simplest of self-sustaining, self-replicating life forms requires belief and faith in the scientifically unobservable and inconceivably improbable.

MACROEVOLUTION

Macroevolution means major evolutionary changes and transitions from one kind of organism to another kind of organism over long periods of time.

There are no legitimate transitional fossils in the fossil record that show one kind (species) changing into another kind (species) of animal. Even Charles Darwin acknowledged this scientific truth.

“Not one change of species into another is on record ... we cannot prove that a single species has been changed.”

-Charles Darwin, ‘My Life & Letters,’ 1887.

“Lastly, looking not to any one time, but to all time, if my theory be true, numberless intermediate varieties, linking closely together all the species of the same group, must assuredly have existed.”

-Charles Darwin, ‘Origin of Species,’ 1859.

What Charles Darwin said and believed about evolution and the origin of species is irrelevant. Darwin was just a man with a theory who has been propped up in prominence as a great scientific mind by evolution advocates. Any person who understands and believes the Scriptural account of the creation has a better understanding of the origin of species than did Charles Darwin.

MICROEVOLUTION

Microevolution is defined as evolutionary change within a species over a short period of time. Microevolution is more aptly termed adaptation. Microevolution, or adaptation within a species, is observable and scientific.

Species adapt to environmental and physical changes. Environment and climate variations account for the 5-different types of bears that exist in the world. Bears that migrated north to the Arctic developed thicker coats of fur, whereas bears that migrated south into warmer climates developed thinner coats. A difference in coat thickness or coat color is not evidence of mutation or evolution, but of adaptation. Genes for thicker and thinner coats were already in the DNA encoding of the bears. No new information can enter the gene code of any species, allowing a bear to change into another kind of animal (macroevolution). All types of bears have a common ancestor: a bear.

Human beings adapt over the course of their lifetime. If a light-skinned man works or lies out in the sun, his exposed skin will pigment and darken. If a man lifts weights, his body will grow muscles to adapt. These changes are made possible by the DNA variations within the genes of human beings.

2 MAJOR SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCES AGAINST EVOLUTION

1. Life has never been observed to come from non-life.
2. There is no known observable process by which new genetic information can be added to the genetic code of an organism.

Evolution in the sense that life came from non-life and then that life began to randomly generate new genetic information and over time it eventually produced humans is something that doesn't hold up against science. Molecules to man evolution (abiogenesis) is not scientifically possible and therefore should not be viewed as scientific fact. It is in great opposition to science, that is, observational science, the kind of science we can test and repeat and use our 5-senses to understand. Science demonstrates that over time living organisms lose genetic information, they don't gain it. Science also demonstrates that life doesn't arise from non-life [7]. 'Simple life' is a misnomer. Even the simplest of forms of life, bacteria, are incredibly complex [8].

PUNCTUATED EQUILIBRIUM

In order to account for the lack of evidence in the fossil record of kinds changing into other kinds of life forms, evolutionists have come up with a new conjectured theory: punctuated equilibrium. Punctuated equilibrium is an Evolution Theory component belief that proposes that instead of evolving very gradually over a long period of time, evolution happens in short bursts interspersed with much longer periods where virtually no evolutionary change occurs. Punctuated equilibrium would allow fish to acquire lungs and walk on land. Punctuated equilibrium solves the problem that evolutionists have with the lack of evidence from the fossil record, but violates a law of science in that there is no known observable process by which new genetic information can be added to the genetic code of an organism. No new species of pig has ever been born with wings.

NATURA NON FACIT SALTUM ... Latin for:
NATURE DOES NOT MAKE JUMPS

In his book, *The Origin of Species*, Charles Darwin defended the principle of 'natura non facit saltum,' and acknowledged that species made gradual and minute changes over time, rather than sudden changes resulting in the rapid emergence of new kinds of life forms.

There is no scientific evidence at all to support punctuated equilibrium. The fossil record and laws of science do not support punctuated equilibrium. Nature does not make jumps.

SUSPENSION OF DISBELIEF

Suspension of disbelief or willing suspension of disbelief is a term coined in 1817 by the poet and aesthetic philosopher Samuel Taylor Coleridge, who suggested that if a writer could infuse a "human interest and a semblance of truth" into a fantastic tale, the reader would suspend judgment concerning the implausibility of the narrative. Suspension of disbelief often applies to fictional works of the action, comedy, fantasy, and horror genres [9].

People suspend disbelief when watching a science fiction movie, such as the *Teenage Mutant Ninja Turtles*, the *X-Men* movie series, or the movie *Waterworld*. In these particular cinematic stories, beneficial mutations are added to turtles or man, and a major species change occurs. Turtles take on human qualities in *Teenage Mutant Ninja Turtles*, human mutants take on new genetic material and become super-humans in *X-Men*, and Kevin Costner acquires gills in *Waterworld*.

In the narrative ending in one of the *X-Men* series movies, the narrator stated that “Every few millennia or so, evolution explodes making great leaps.” An evolutionary deception to try to account for the lack of evidence of transitional fossils, in the fossil record, is to suggest that macroevolution change may have occurred suddenly in species without leaving transition fossils showing one species changing into another. This sudden evolutionary change theory breaks the laws of science by suddenly allowing an organism to drastically change without any means of attaining new DNA genetic code information to account for the change.

In the movie *Waterworld*, the character played by Kevin Costner is a mutant who developed gill slits behind his ears and is capable of breathing underwater. This kind of evolution mutation is impossible because gills are not genetically coded into the DNA of human beings. These kinds of mutations cannot gradually occur over time. Whales and dolphins breathe using lungs, which is how they were created. Whales and dolphins have not acquired gills, despite the fact that they spend all of their lives submerged in water, except when they surface to breathe.

People suspend disbelief when watching a science fiction movie, so that they can enjoy the show, yet evolution scientists have ever increasingly demanded that the public accept evolution science fiction (theory) as truth when presented in textbooks. They have banned opposing viewpoints and beliefs from being presented in education institutions, most notably the creation account of Genesis or the theory of intelligent design.

A frog transforms into a prince in storybooks and everyone knows this is a fairytale; a frog evolves into a human being in science biology textbooks and many willfully present this as a scientific fact or a plausible theory. Those who ardently believe, defend, and proclaim the theory of molecules to man evolution as a fact, when faced with the hard scientific truths that render evolution theory impossible, literally suspend disbelief and are under a strong delusion (2 Thessalonians 2:11).

FAITH IN EVOLUTION VS. FAITH IN GOD

Molecules to man evolution theory is a theory that requires faith above reason or blind faith. It is not plausible on the surface, so evolutionists add billions of years to account for evolutionary changes that are unobservable in science. Thus evolutionists have faith in time, that time can do the miraculous acts required of evolution that are unobservable in science.

A belief that God created the heavens, the Earth, and life requires faith also, but this is reasoned informed faith. Science itself disproves evolution, so to believe in cosmic evolution, and in molecules to man evolution, is illogical by observable, testable, scientific standards.

An argument often presented by evolution-believing atheists is that scientific progress and breakthroughs will cease if people continue to believe in God. This claim is utterly absurd as many of the world's great inventors and scientists were believers in a Divine Being and Creator. These same inventors and scientists often proclaimed that the more they discovered, the deeper their faith in God became. Whether one believes in creationism or evolution is irrelevant. Scientific breakthroughs and discoveries do not depend on belief or disbelief in God, or belief or disbelief in evolution.

GOD CREATED CREATURES ACCORDING TO THEIR KINDS

GENESIS 1:24-25:

Then God said, "Let the earth bring forth the living creature ACCORDING TO ITS KIND: cattle and creeping thing and beast of the earth, each ACCORDING TO ITS KIND"; and it was so. And God made the beast of the earth ACCORDING TO ITS KIND, cattle ACCORDING TO ITS KIND, and everything that creeps on the earth ACCORDING TO ITS KIND. And God saw that it was good.

There are many believers who attempt to mix parts of the evolution account with their faith in God. Many compromise their faith, proclaiming that evolution was a means that God used to create life, and accept that the Genesis account of the creation of life was a mythical tale.

God, in retelling the Genesis account of the creation of life, seems to have taken into account that Satan would try to use evolution as a means to deceive people. Scripture is very clear and specific in proclaiming that God made life according to its kind, that life reproduces according to its kind, and that life did not evolve over time from one kind to another kind. In nature and in observable science we witness that kinds always reproduce after their kinds, just as Scripture states.

THE LIE OF EVOLUTION

THE LIE of evolution, in summation, is basically the theory of how the universe and everything in it came to be in existence, without God the Creator, and that the creation itself is the creator. Evolution is THE LIE that evolved from the original lie told to Eve in the Garden of Eden.

GENESIS 3:1-5:

Now the serpent was more cunning than any beast of the field that the Lord God had made. And he said to the woman, "Has God indeed said, 'You shall not eat of every tree of the garden'?"

And the woman said to the serpent, "We may eat the fruit of the trees of the garden; but of the fruit of the tree which is in the midst of the garden, God has said, 'You shall not eat it, nor shall you touch it, lest you die.'"

Then the serpent said to the woman, "You will not surely die. For God knows that in the day you eat of it your eyes will be opened, and YOU WILL BE LIKE GOD, knowing good and evil."

The root of THE LIE of evolution is that you will evolve and transition into God; you will become like God; YOU WILL BE LIKE GOD.

ROMANS 1:22-25:

Professing to be wise, they became fools, and changed the glory of the incorruptible God into an image made like corruptible man—and birds and four-footed animals and creeping things.

Therefore God also gave them up to uncleanness, in the lusts of their hearts, to dishonor their bodies among themselves, who exchanged the truth of God for THE LIE, and worshiped and served the creature rather than the Creator, who is blessed forever.

2 THESSALONIANS 2:11-12:

And for this reason God will send them strong delusion, that they should believe THE LIE, that they all may be condemned who did not believe the truth but had pleasure in unrighteousness.

Evolution science scholars “professing to be wise,” often cite their worldly education credentials and enlightenment, while belittling believers of the Genesis account of creation as ‘uneducated’ and ‘unsophisticated.’ Scripture proclaims that they “became fools,” because they have said in their heart that there is no God in favor of their evolution theory (Psalm 14:1). Under “strong delusion” evolution theorists “changed the glory” of God’s creation using illustrative drawings “like corruptible man,” drawings of man and images of man-like creatures transitioning from monkeys to man, that are fictional artist renderings.

Evolutionists ‘worship’ the creature rather than the Creator. They believe that life rose up from the elements and became the creature and that the creature, over time, evolved into all the various kinds of life on Earth, including man. They usurp glory away from God as the Creator and bestow it upon the creature. Evolutionists worship the creature, and not the Creator, in the sense that they believe that the creature created itself and that God did not create the creature.

Evolution Theory is atheistic secular science's attempt to explain the creation of the universe and the formation of life upon the Earth without a Creator.

Don’t believe THE LIE of evolution.

1. Hovind, Kent; "The Age of the Earth," Creation Science Evangelism,
< <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AOPcr1N6NvQ> >.
2. "Richard Dawkins Knows Nothing About Nothing?,"
< <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v34QjYPuiEA#t=22> >.
3. Stein, Ben; "EXPELLED: No Intelligence Allowed," "Richard Dawkins admits to Intelligent Design,"
< <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BoncJBrdQ8> >.
4. "Stellar evolution," Wikipedia, < http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stellar_evolution >.
5. "Chemical evolution," Dictionary.com, < <http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/chemical+evolution> >.
6. Essert, Matt; News.Mic, "Cambridge Study Reveals How Life Could Have Started From Nothing," April 26, 2014,
< <http://mic.com/articles/88441/cambridge-study-reveals-how-life-could-have-started-from-nothing> >.
7. "Check This Out: Evolution Refuted," Answers in Genesis,
< <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sWecPwrQv2c&index=13&list=PLFB298F25EE9B8EAC> >.
8. Sherwin, Frank M.A., "Just How Simple Are Bacteria?," 2001,
< <http://www.icr.org/article/just-how-simple-are-bacteria> >.
9. "Suspension of disbelief," Wikipedia,
< http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Suspension_of_disbelief >.